

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,851	04/10/2001	Rashida Karmali	133.002 9484		
7590 07/28/2006			EXAMINER		
Rashida A. Karmali, PhD			KAZIMI, HANI M		
99 Wall Street, 1 New York, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/829,8	51	KARMALI, RASHIDA					
		Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Hani Kazi	mi	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply it - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ONGER, FROM THE MAI y be available under the provisions of from the mailing date of this commun s specified above, the maximum stature the set or extended period for reply will the Office later than three months after justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- nication. tory period will apply and w II, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be timurill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since this a	to communication(s) filed is FINAL . 2b pplication is in condition for cordance with the practice)⊠ This action is r or allowance except	for formal matters, pro		s is				
Disposition of Claim	s								
4a) Of the a 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 4- 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Papers 9) The specific 10) The drawing Applicant ma Replacement	to is/are pending in the apple bove claim(s) is/are loved. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction is objected to by the local strain is/are: a sy not request that any objection declaration is objected to be declaration.	withdrawn from coon and/or election in Examiner. a) accepted or boon to the drawing(s) the correction is required.	requirement. Description objected to by the limited be held in abeyance. Second if the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
_	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P1	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/829,851 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on May 8,
 The rejections cited are as stated below:

Response to Applicant's amendment

- 2. Applicants' amendment filed on May 8, 2006 have been fully considered, and discussed in the next section below or within the following rejections are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicants' request for allowance is respectfully denied.
- 3. The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's amendment to the previous claims and therefore withdraws the previous office action rejections regarding these matters.
 Applicant's remaining traversals are discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3624

In particular, claims 4-6 recite in the preamble "a computer implemented system for assessing risk …", the body of the claim does not contain any limitations indicating the structure of the device. A system or an apparatus claim should always claim the structure or the hardware that performs the function. Applicant's claimed limitations consist of code (software according to the specification) that do not describe the structure of the system. A system can not be configured by using a processing unit only. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

"Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject

to the conditions and requirements of this title".

7. Claims 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

35 USC 101 requires that in order to be patentable the invention must be a "new and useful process, machine, manufacture, *or* composition of matter, *or* any new and useful improvement thereof" (emphasis added).

Claims 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because; the claimed invention is directed to a non-statutory subject matter. Specifically the claimed invention as a whole does not accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. Accordingly, a complete disclosure should contain some indication of the practical

Art Unit: 3624

application for the claimed invention. The mere fact that the claims performs gathering of data related to risk categories, receive information relating to details of the financing, and structuring the information into a computer, and using a computer software contained within the computer to calculate a cumulative risk score referencing the structured information and the gathered data does not satisfy the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101. The claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore is non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. § 101. The claimed invention as a whole must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible" result to have a practical application.

Claims 4-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

and useful process, machine, manufacture, *or* composition of matter, *or* any new and useful improvement thereof" (emphasis added). Applicant's claims mentioned above are intended to embrace or overlap *two* different statutory classes of invention as set forth in 35 USC 101. The claims begin by discussing a system (ex. preamble of claim 4), the body of the claim discusses the specifics of a computer readable medium having code and subsequently the claim then deals with the specifics of a method (the steps) executed by a processing unit. "A claim of this type is precluded by the express language of 35 USC 101 which is drafted so as to set forth the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only", <u>Ex parte Lyell</u> (17 USPQ2d 1548).

Application/Control Number: 09/829,851 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (571) 272-6745. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 3624

July 21, 2006